doi:10.1093/scan/nsu033

SCAN (2014) I of 7

Regional gray matter volume and anxiety-related traits interact to predict somatic complaints in a non-clinical sample

Dongtao Wei,^{1,2} Xue Du,^{1,2} Wenfu Li,^{1,2} Qunlin Chen,^{1,2} Haijiang Li,^{1,2} Xin Hao,^{1,2} Lei Zhang,^{1,2} Glenn Hitchman,^{1,2} Qinglin Zhang,^{1,2} and Jiang Qiu^{1,2}

¹Key Laboratory of Cognition and Personality (SWU), Ministry of Education, Chongqing 400715, China and ²Department of Psychology, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China

Somatic complaints can be important features of an individual's expression of anxiety. Anxiety-related traits are also risk factors for somatic symptoms. However, it is not known which neuroanatomical mechanisms may be responsible for this relationship. In this study, our first step was to use voxel-based morphometry (VBM) approaches to investigate the neuroanatomical basis underlying somatic complaints in a large sample of healthy subjects. We found a significant positive correlation between somatic complaints and parahippocampal gyrus (PHG) volume adjacent to the entorhinal cortex. Further analysis revealed that the interaction between PHG volume/entorhinal cortex and neuroticism-anxiety (N-Anx) predicted somatic complaints. Specifically, somatic complaints were associated with higher N-Anx for individuals with increased PHG volume. These findings suggest that increased PHG volume and higher trait anxiety can predict vulnerability to somatic complaints in the general population.

Keywords: anxiety; somatic complaints; somatization; neuroticism; voxel-based morphometry (VBM)

INTRODUCTION

Some somatic complaints are thought to be physical reactions that represent cognitive and emotional avoidance, which cause subjective distress and disability (Olatunji et al., 2006). Somatic complaints are common in patients with anxiety disorders, such as social anxiety (Domschke et al., 2010), posttraumatic stress disorders (Van Ommeren et al., 2002) and generalized anxiety disorder (Hoehn-Saric et al., 2004). It has been suggested that self-reported somatic complaints are strongly associated with anxiety-related traits in the general population (Rosmalen et al., 2007). Research on normal individuals also indicates that somatic complaints may contribute to the triggering and the amplification of anxiety-related personality traits (Costa and McCrae, 1985). Furthermore, individuals who have excessive somatic complaints may be more prone to misattributing somatic signals to other symptoms (Brosschot, 2002). However, why some people are more likely to report somatic complaints than others, and which neuroanatomical mechanisms are responsible for the association of somatic complaints and anxiety-related traits remains unclear.

Somatic complaints are common features of some anxiety disorders, including symptoms of fatigue, pain, heart palpitations, fear and other body sensations (Olatunji *et al.*, 2006). These symptoms are often labeled as medically unexplained physical symptoms or psychosomatic symptoms (Mayou, 1991; van den Berg *et al.*, 2005). Although anxiety is seen as a negative emotion that can be accompanied by distinct psychological and somatic complaints (Nabi *et al.*, 2010), these two classes of symptoms have not received equal attention from

Received 10 September 2013; Accepted 10 February 2014

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31070900; 30800293; 30970892; 31170983), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (2011) by the Ministry of Education, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (SWU1209101), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (2012MS10098), the Research Funds for Southwest University (SWU09103), the Key Discipline Fund of National 211 Project (NSKD11007), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (2011) by the Ministry of Education, China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (2012MS10098), and the postgraduate Innovation Foundation of Science and Technology of Southwest University (kb2011002).

Correspondence should be addressed to Jiang Qiu, Department of Psychology Southwest University Chongqing 400715, China. E-mail: qiuj318@swu.edu.cn

neuroscientists. Most neuroscience research so far has focused on the neural mechanisms of the psychological components of anxiety (Barrós-Loscertales *et al.*, 2006; Bishop, 2007; Cherbuin *et al.*, 2008), whereas few studies have examined the neural basis underlying somatic complaints. Somatic complaints may not have been understudied because they are difficult to induce in the laboratory. A growing body of evidence suggests that inter-individual variability in a wide range of human behaviors can be predicted from the structure of gray matter (GM) measured with MRI (Kanai and Rees, 2011). Thus, neuroanatomical investigations into the inter-individual variability in somatic complaints measured by self-reported questionnaires may provide a solid foundation for understanding the neural basis of somatic complaints.

Previous neuroimaging studies have revealed that the emotional aspect of somatization involves the medial temporal lobes (MTL), especially the hippocampus formation, which plays a critical role in negative emotional processing, memory formation and fear-conditioned learning (LaBar and Cabeza, 2006; Phelps, 2006). For example, pain-related emotional learning has been associated with abnormal activity in the MTL (Ploghaus et al., 2001; Baliki et al., 2010). Previous studies suggest that nociceptive processing also involves the MTL (Liu et al., 2010). More specifically, patients with chronic pain have stronger pain-evoked activity in the anterior parahippocampal gyrus (PHG) (Ploghaus et al., 2001). Furthermore, structural and functional changes in the hippocampal formation are associated with sensitivity to chronic pain (Vachon-Presseau et al., 2013), negative emotion (Roy et al., 2009), and the exacerbation of pain by anticipatory anxiety (Ploghaus et al., 2001). In summary, some clinical research indicates that structure and functional changes in the hippocampal formation are associated with symptoms of somatization. However, the relationship between individual differences in somatic complaints and the volume of regional GM in normal individuals remains unclear.

Research indicates that the frequency of somatic complaints is strongly associated with anxiety-related personality traits (Mathews and Mackintosh, 1998; Rosmalen *et al.*, 2007). Psychosomatic diseases are thought to be particularly prone to being exacerbated by psychological factors such as, worry, fear and tension (Pennebaker, 2000). Moreover, individuals with high levels of neuroticism are more vulnerable to physical symptoms and tend to report more somatic complaints (Kangas and Montgomery, 2011). Furthermore, physically healthy individuals may report more somatic complaints because of their personality dispositions (Costa and McCrae, 1985). Some studies have suggested that only a subset of individuals faced with physical pain or stress would develop somatoform disorders (McEwen and Stellar, 1993; Baliki *et al.*, 2012). Although previous behavioral studies found that negative emotionality has a positive correlation with somatic complaints, the neuroanatomical mechanisms that are responsible for this association are not clear. One possible explanation for the relationship is that the threat of personal harm may be a moderator between anxiety-related traits and health indicators (Carré *et al.*, 2012; Giese-Davis *et al.*, 2014).

In this study, our primary focus was to identify the relationship between individual differences in somatic complaints and the volume of regional GM on a whole-brain level among the general population, using voxel-based morphometry (VBM) on structural magnetic resonance images. The second aim of our study was to examine whether specific regional gray matter volume (rGMV) would moderate the relationship between anxiety-related traits and somatic complaints. Based on previous studies, which suggested that individuals with high levels of anxiety-related traits are more vulnerable to physical symptoms and more likely to report somatic complaints (Mathews and Mackintosh, 1998, Pennebaker, 2000, Rosmalen et al., 2007; Kangas and Montgomery, 2011), we predicted (1) that individuals with relatively larger rGMV would report more somatic complaints in the context of anxiety-related traits; and (2) that individuals with smaller rGMV would report stable somatic complaints regardless of their anxiety-related traits.

METHOD

Participants

A total of 288 right-handed, healthy volunteers (134 women and 154 men; mean age = 19.9 years, s.d. = 1.3, age range: 17-27 years) participated in the study as part of our ongoing project to examine the associations among brain imaging, creativity and mental health. All participants were university students from the local community of Southwest University, China. Participants were screened to confirm healthy development by a self-report questionnaire before the scanning, and thus, those participants who had a history of psychiatric or neurological disorders, received mental health treatment or had taken psychiatric medications were excluded. All participants gave their informed written consent and we also obtained informed written consent from the two youngest participants' (aged 17 years old) guardians who were their college instructors. The Brain Imaging Center Institutional Review Board of Southwest China University approved this study and the experiment procedure which in accordance with the standards of the Declaration of Helsinki (1991).

Measuring the level of somatic complaints

The self-rating anxiety scale (SAS) is a 20-item measure of the frequency of anxiety symptoms and was developed primarily as a measure of somatic complaints associated with anxiety reaction (Zung, 1971). It consists of 15 somatic and 5 affective symptoms that are related to anxiety and has demonstrated adequate internal consistency and test-retest reliability. The initial psychometric evaluation of the measure revealed adequate split-half reliability (r=0.71; Zung, 1971). A subsequent evaluation reported adequate internal consistency in normal college students (a=0.81; Olatunji *et al.*, 2006). The SAS also had good test-retest reliability in a clinical sample of agoraphobics over a period ranging from 1 to 16 weeks (r's = 0.81–0.84) (Michelson and Mavissakalian, 1983). In our study, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient for internal consistency in this sample was 0.73. The mean SAS total score was 35.14 (S.D. = 6.46), which is consistent with the prior work (mean score = 33.09, S.D. = 6.88) (Olatunji *et al.*, 2006). The 20-item SAS is also a self-report assessment tool to measure somatic complaints, with each response using a 4-point scale from 'none of the time' to 'most of the time'. It contains items that mainly assess physiological symptoms commonly associated with anxiety. Examples of SAS items are as follows: 'My arms and legs shake and tremble' (Somatic arousal); 'I feel more nervous and anxious than usual' (Emotional arousal); 'I feel weak and get tired easily' (Fatigue); 'I am bothered by headaches, neck and back pain' (Pain); 'I have nightmares' (Sleep). The SAS is considered a sensitive and ecologically valid measure of somatic complaint levels in patients as well as in non-clinical participants (Olatunji *et al.*, 2006).

Measuring the level of anxiety-related personality traits

Personality traits were assessed using the revised NEO Personality Inventory (NEO-PI-R) (Costa and McCrae, 1992). The NEO-PI-R is based on a 5-factor model of personality. All these factors are divided into 6 subscales. The subscales of neuroticism are anxiety, hostility, depression, self-consciousness, impulsiveness and vulnerability. In our study, we mainly focused on the neuroticism-anxiety (N-Anx) dimension, based on the a priori hypothesis predicting a relationship between anxiety-related traits and somatic complaints (Mathews and Mackintosh, 1998, Pennebaker, 2000, Rosmalen *et al.*, 2007; Kangas and Montgomery, 2011).

MRI data acquisition

MR images were acquired on a 3.0-T Siemens Trio MRI scanner (Siemens Medical, Erlangen, Germany). High-resolution T1-weighted anatomical images were acquired using a magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo sequence (repetition time = 1900 ms; echo time= 2.52 ms; inversion time = 900 ms; flip angle = 9 degrees; resolution matrix = 256×256 ; slices = 176; thickness = 1.0 mm; voxel size = $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}$).

VBM

The MR images were processed using the SPM8 program (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK; www.fil.ion.ucl.ac. uk/spm) implemented in Matlab 7.8 (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Each MR image was first displayed in SPM8 to screen for artifacts or gross anatomical abnormalities. For better registration, the reorientation of the images was manually set to the anterior commissure. The images were segmented into GM and white matter (WM) by using the new segmentation in SPM8. Subsequently, we performed Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration through Exponentiated Lie algebra in SPM8 for registration, normalization, and modulation. To ensure that regional differences in the absolute amount of GM were conserved, the image intensity of each voxel was modulated by the Jacobian determinants. Then, registered images were transformed to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space. Finally, the normalized modulated images (GM and WM images) were smoothed with a 12-mm full-width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel to increase their signal to noise ratio.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses of rGMV data were performed using SPM8. In the whole-brain analyses, we used a multiple linear regression to identify regions where rGMV was associated with individual differences in the level of somatic complaints. In the multiple linear regression analyses,

the scores of somatic complaints were used as the variable of interest. To control for possible confounding variables, age, sex, N-Anx and global volumes of GM were entered as covariates into the regression model. To avoid edge effects around the borders between GM and WM, an absolute threshold masking of 0.2 was used, meaning that voxels with GM values lower than 0.2 were excluded from the analyses.

We also examined the association between rGMV and somatic complaints and whether these associations differed between sexes. In whole-brain analysis, we used a voxel-wise ANCOVA in which sex difference was a group factor (using the full factorial option of SPM8). These methods have successfully employed in the previous study (Blankstein *et al.*, 2009; Takeuchi *et al.*, 2013b). In this analysis, age, somatic complaints and global GMV were covariates. The centering option was used to center these interactions. The main effects of somatic complaints and the interactions between sex and somatic complaints were assessed using *t*-contrasts.

For all analyses, the cluster-level statistical threshold was set at P < 0.05, and corrected at the non-stationary cluster correction (Hayasaka *et al.*, 2004) with an underlying voxel level of P < 0.001. In this non-isotropic cluster-size test of random field theory, a relatively higher cluster-determining threshold combined with high smoothing values of more than six voxels leads to appropriate conservativeness in real data. With high smoothing values, an uncorrected threshold of P < 0.01 seems to lead to anticonservativeness (Silver *et al.*, 2011). Non-stationary cluster size tests can be safely applied to data known to be non-stationary (*e.g.* not uniformly smooth), such as VBM data (Hayasaka *et al.*, 2004; Takeuchi *et al.*, 2013a).

Moderation and mediation analysis

A moderator variable is a variable that affects the direction and/or strength of the relationship between an independent variable and a dependent variable. Moderation studies address questions like 'when (under what conditions/situations)' or 'for whom' does X have a stronger/weaker (positive/negative) relation with or effect on Y. Moderation analyses were conducted using the interaction effect MODPROBE macro designed for SPSS and SAS (Hayes and Matthes, 2009). To test whether the strength of the relationship between anxiety-related traits and somatic complaints were affected by the volume size of regional GM, we performed a moderation analysis. According to the previous studies, structure and functional changes in the hippocampal formation may be associated with somatization symptoms in the clinical sample, and individuals with high levels of neuroticism are more vulnerable to physical symptoms and tend to report more somatic complaints (Kangas and Montgomery, 2011). We hypothesized that individual differences in PHG volume would moderate the relationship between anxiety-related traits and somatic complaints. The PHG volume was entered as the moderator variable, somatic complaints scores as dependent variables and anxiety-related traits (N-Anx) as a focal predictor in a regression analysis within SPSS Statistics-16 (http:// www.spss.com). We used the Johnson-Neyman technique to examine the interaction effect in the SPSS MODPROBE macro. The Johnson-Neyman technique can calculate the entire range of moderator variable values (PHG) for which the focal predictor (N-Anx) is significantly correlated with the dependent variable (Somatic complaints). These methods have successfully employed in a previous study (Nikolova et al., 2012).

To test whether the regional GMV could explain the relationship between anxiety-related traits and somatic complaints, we also performed a mediation analysis. A mediating variable is a variable that is part of the causal pathway by which an independent variable affects a dependent variable. Mediation analyses were conducted using the indirect macro designed for SPSS (Preacher and Hayes, 2008). This macro uses bootstrapped sampling to estimate the indirect mediation effect. In this analysis, 2000 bootstrapped samples were drawn and bias corrected 95% bootstrap confidence intervals (CI) were reported. CIs that do not include zero indicate a significant indirect effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable through the mediators (Preacher and Hayes, 2008).

RESULTS

Sample descriptive statistics

The summed score for the SAS scale was used as an index of somatic complaints, whereby a higher score indicated more somatic complaints. Table 1 lists the characteristics of demographics of the total sample. As indicated in Table 1, somatic complaints were positively correlated with N-Anx (r = 0.44, P < 0.001), indicating that the SAS has good discriminate validity.

VBM results

Somatic complaints scores were positively correlated with the GM volume in a cluster that mainly included areas in the anterior part of bilateral PHG adjacent to the entorhinal cortex [right: cluster size = 1587, t = 5.71, P (corr) = 0.006, 1 - $\beta = 0.99$; left: cluster size = 783, t = 4.36, P (corr) = 0.034, 1 - $\beta = 0.98$; Figure 1 and Table 2]. Furthermore, we also found that SAS scores were negatively correlated with the GM volume of the left postcentral gyrus (cluster size = 108, t = 4.28, P (corr) = 0.037, 1 - $\beta = 0.98$; Figure 2 and Table 2). Age, gender, N-Anx and global GM volumes were included as covariates in all analyses. Meanwhile, to examine the correlation between somatic complaints or anxiety and regional GMV affected by the global GM volume, we further examined the relationship between the global GM volume and the level of somatic complaints or anxiety. There was no significance correlation between the global GM volume and the level of somatic complaints (r=0.14, P=0.81) or anxiety (r = -0.04, P = 0.5).

We also examined the association between rGMV and somatic and whether these associations differed between sexes. The analysis of the interaction between sex and somatic complaints on rGMV did not reveal any significant results.

Moderation analysis

Based on previous studies, we hypothesized that individual differences in PHG volume would moderate the relationship between anxietyrelated traits and somatic complaints. There was a significant interaction between N-Anx and PHG volume (Table 2). ($\Delta R^2 = 0.011$, b=2.55, t=2.06, P=0.038), such that higher somatic complaints were associated with high N-Anx for participants with relatively increased PHG volume (up 89.9%, n=259) but not for those with decreased PHG volume (remaining 10.1%, n=29; Figure 3).

Mediation analysis

Indirect mediation effects can be interpreted as the strength of the relationship between somatic complaints and anxiety-related traits when accounting for mediating pathways (Hayes, 2009). Anxiety-related traits were positively associated with somatic complaints (r=0.44, P<0.001). Somatic complaints were positively related to PHG volume (r=0.32, P<0.001). Anxiety-related traits were not significantly correlated with PHG volume (r=0.033, P>0.05). To test the significance of the indirect effect between N-Ax and somatic complaints, bootstrap resampling was used. Results showed no significant indirect effect between somatic complaints and anxiety-related traits [CI: -0.02, 0.052] through PHG volume.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that somatic complaints were associated with a significant increase in volume in a cluster that included areas in the anterior part of the bilateral PHG adjacent to the entorhinal cortex, and a reduction in left postcentral volume. Consistent with our hypothesis, we further found that the volume of the PHG/entorhinal cortex moderated the relationship between somatic complaints and N-Anx. Specifically, we found that higher somatic complaints were correlated with higher neuroticism-anxiety scores in individuals with higher PHG/entorhinal cortex volume, but not in individuals with lower PHG/entorhinal cortex volume.

Table 1 Demographic and psychometric measures (n = 288)

	Mean (s.d.)	Range	Association with SAS ^a	
Age (years)	19.94 (1.32)	17—27	_	
SAS	35.14 (6.46)	20–54	_	
N-Anx	24.17 (4.26)	13–35	0.44**	

^aPearson bivariate correlations, shown are *r*-values. **P < 0.001.

Increased volume in the cluster included the anterior PHG/entorhinal cortex, which was associated with anxiety-related somatic complaints. The PHG is an important connecting pathway of the limbic system and it connects the amygdala and the hippocampus (Stefanacci et al., 1996). It has been suggested that the PHG and hippocampal connections with others subcortical structures may play a key role in the regulation of stress (Ulrich-Lai and Herman, 2009), consolidation of memory (Van Strien et al., 2009; Wang and Morris, 2010), and emotional learning (LaBar and Cabeza, 2006). For example, somatoform pain disorders show increased neural response to pain stimulation in the PHG (Gündel et al., 2008), and pain-related activity in the hippocampus of these patients has been associated with daily physical complaints (Gondo et al., 2012). PHG dysfunctions may be a risk factor for anxiety-related disorders, such as social anxiety disorder (Etkin and Wager, 2007; Goldin et al., 2009), specific phobias (Veltman et al., 2004), and posttraumatic stress disorders (Etkin and Wager, 2007). In particular, PHG hyperactivity has been found in individuals with social phobia during conditions of social threat (Phan et al., 2006). Also, a recent VBM study reported that individuals suffering from social anxiety disorder have increased GMV in the PHG compared with individuals suffering from panic disorder or controls (Talati et al., 2013). Moreover, the adjacent entorhinal cortex, which is part of the anterior PHG (Bernasconi et al., 1999; van Hoesen et al., 2004), provides sensory information to the hippocampus in memory



Fig. 1 Regional gray matter volume correlated with Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS). The parahippocampal (PHG) volume adjacent to entorhinal cortex exhibited significant positive correlation with SAS. A scatterplot between SAS and gray matter volume adjusted for age, gender, and total gray matter volume is shown for illustration purpose only.



Fig. 2 Regional gray matter volume correlated with Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS). The left postcentral cortex exhibited significant negative correlation with SAS. A scatterplot between SAS and left postcentral volume adjusted for age, gender, and total gray matter volume is shown for illustration purpose only.

Table 2 Summary of the GMV associations with somatic complaints

Brain region	MNI coordinates		Voxels size	Peak T value	Correlation	
	X	у	Ζ			coefficient
Positive correlation Parahippocampal/entorhinal	30	—3	—34	1587	5.71	0.323**
Negative correlation Parahippocampal/entorhinal Postcentral gyrus	-32 -48	0 —20	36 48	783 108	4.36 4.28	0.25** —0.249**

Results are P < 0.05, corrected for multiple comparisons at a cluster level with non-stationary correction, with an underlying voxel level of P < 0.001, uncorrected.

**P < 0.001. Pearson bivariate correlations with somatic complaints, shown are r-values.

and learning. The entorhinal cortex also is involved in nociceptive processing and the generation of pain perception (Ploghaus *et al.*, 2001; Liu and Chen, 2009), and it plays an important role in fear and anxiety (Barkus *et al.*, 2010). Damage to the ventral hippocampus (the entorhinal cortex) in rats makes them less susceptible to contextual fear conditioning (Bannerman *et al.*, 2003) and reduces their expression of fear (Kjelstrup *et al.*, 2002). In humans, Ploghaus *et al.* (2001) found that anxiety-induced hyperalgesia is associated with activation of the entorhinal cortex during a Pavlovian delay-conditioning task. Thus, our data provide direct evidence of the role of the para-hippocampal/entorhinal cortex in anxiety, especially in anxiety-related somatic complaints. This suggests that increased parahippocampal/ entorhinal cortex volume may be associated with increased vulnerability to somatic complaints in the general population.

In this study, the relationship between somatic complaints and individual neuroticism-anxiety were moderated by increased PHG/ entorhinal cortex volume. Previous studies have revealed that the anterior hippocampal formation is associated with neuroendocrine stress responses and that it plays a critical role in anxiety-related behaviors that could become more prominent in somatoform disorders (Vachon-Presseau *et al.*, 2013). Interestingly, Gray and McNaughton propose that the septohippocampal system acts as a comparator contrasting approaching fear with predicted perceptual information (Gray and McNaughton, 1982; McNaughton, 2003). This process is accompanied by anxiety. Additionally, it has been suggested that high trait anxiety or neuroticism are vulnerability factors for the development of anxiety disorders (Sandi and Richter-Levin, 2009). Moreover, VBM studies also have shown that trait anxiety is positively correlated



Fig. 3 The parahippocampal (PHG) volume moderates the relationship between somatic complaints and neuroticism-anxiety (N-Anx). N-Anx were associated with increased somatic complaints in participants with relatively high (blue line) but not low (red line) volume of PHG.

with hippocampal volumes in both patients with clinical anxiety and healthy subjects (Rusch *et al.*, 2001; Baur *et al.*, 2012). Based on the results of our study, we propose that increased PHG volume may further interact with N-Anx to modulate somatic complaints and the potential risk for anxiety.

We also found that somatic complaints were negatively associated with GM volume in the left postcentral gyrus. The postcentral gyrus is the location of the primary somatosensory cortex, which plays a role in general somatic sensation. It has been suggested that the primary somatosensory cortex is involved in pain processing (Kanda et al., 2000; Inui et al., 2003; Mancini et al., 2012). The reduced postcentral volume may be a sensitivity index for pain perception, because it is associated with an increased pain threshold in individuals with a relatively high rate of somatic complaints. It is generally accepted that the PHG and postcentral gyrus are involved in sensory-limbic projection pathways that may be associated with nociceptive responses (Treede et al., 2000). The early processing of somatic sensory input occurs in the somatosensory cortices, and the output is directed towards the insular cortex and PHG (Friedman et al., 1986; Karhu and Tesche, 1999). The postcentral gyrus is involved in the somatosensory cortices, and may be associated with the processing of the sensory intensity of somatic complaints (Oertel et al., 2007), whereas, the PHG is part of the limbic system that is known to process the affective dimension of somatic complaints (Oertel et al., 2007).

Our findings are limited to the instrument we used to assess somatic complaints. Specifically, we used a self-report questionnaire measure of

somatic complaints. Self-reports reflect a range of cognitive biases, such as overestimation, known as the Kruger-Dunning effect (Kanai and Rees, 2011). Also, our sample consisted of highly educated, normal, young adults, who may be less physically responsive than the general population. Therefore, it is not known whether our results would be consistent with clinical samples. Given the relationship between somatic complaints and individual differences in hippocampal formation volume, studying somatic complaints in a clinical sample may be helpful to understand how the hippocampal formation affects somatic complaints. We know that VBM analysis is a more comprehensive measure that integrates changes in cortical folding and thickness. So, other direct measures of the brain structure (such as cortical thickness, surface area or local gyrification index, etc.) should be used in further studies to better understand these relationships (Wei et al., 2013). Additionally, the image denoising method needs to be improved for a large sample in a future study (e.g. a denoising filter based on Spatial Adaptive Non-Local Means).

In summary, we have found that somatic complaints are intimately associated with individual differences in the volume of the parahippocampal/entorhinal cortex. Moreover, the relationship between somatic complaints and anxiety-related traits were moderated by parahippocampal/entorhinal cortex volume. These findings indicate that increased parahippocampal/entorhinal volume might be a key indicator of somatic complaints. Further studies are needed to investigate the longitudinal relationship among somatic complaints, anxiety disorders and GMV change.

REFERENCES

- Baliki, M.N., Geha, P.Y., Fields, H.L., Apkarian, A.V. (2010). Predicting value of pain and analgesia: nucleus accumbens response to noxious stimuli changes in the presence of chronic pain. *Neuron*. 66, 149–60.
- Baliki, M.N., Petre, B., Torbey, S., et al. (2012). Corticostriatal functional connectivity predicts transition to chronic back pain. *Nature Neuroscience*, *15*, 1117–9.
- Bannerman, D., Grubb, M., Deacon, R., Yee, B., Feldon, J., Rawlins, J. (2003). Ventral hippocampal lesions affect anxiety but not spatial learning. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 139, 197–213.
- Barkus, C., McHugh, S.B., Sprengel, R., Seeburg, P.H., Rawlins, J.N.P., Bannerman, D.M. (2010). Hippocampal NMDA receptors and anxiety: at the interface between cognition and emotion. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 626, 49.
- Barrós-Loscertales, A., Meseguer, V., Sanjuán, A., et al. (2006). Behavioral inhibition system activity is associated with increased amygdala and hippocampal gray matter volume: a voxel-based morphometry study. *Neuroimage*, 33, 1011–5.
- Baur, V., Hänggi, J., Jäncke, L. (2012). Volumetric associations between uncinate fasciculus, amygdala, and trait anxiety. BMC Neuroscience, 13, 4.
- Bernasconi, N., Bernasconi, A., Andermann, F., Dubeau, F., Feindel, W., Reutens, D. (1999). Entorhinal cortex in temporal lobe epilepsy: a quantitative MRI study. *Neurology*, 52, 1870–6.
- Bishop, S.J. (2007). Neurocognitive mechanisms of anxiety: an integrative account. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11, 307–16.
- Blankstein, U., Chen, J.Y., Mincic, A.M., McGrath, P.A., Davis, K.D. (2009). The complex minds of teenagers: neuroanatomy of personality differs between sexes. *Neuropsychologia*, 47, 599–603.
- Brosschot, J.F. (2002). Cognitive-emotional sensitization and somatic health complaints. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 43, 113–21.
- Carré, J.M., Fisher, P.M., Manuck, S.B., Hariri, A.R. (2012). Interaction between trait anxiety and trait anger predict amygdala reactivity to angry facial expressions in men but not women. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 7, 213–21.
- Cherbuin, N., Windsor, T.D., Anstey, K.J., Maller, J.J., Meslin, C., Sachdev, P.S. (2008). Hippocampal volume is positively associated with behavioural inhibition (BIS) in a large community-based sample of mid-life adults: the PATH through life study. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *3*, 262–9.
- Costa, P.T., McCrae, R.R. (1985). Hypochondriasis, neuroticism, and aging: when are somatic complaints unfounded? *American Psychologist*, 40, 19.
- Costa, P.T., McCrae, R.R. (1992). Neo PI-R Professional Manual, Vol. 396, Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, pp. 653–65.
- Domschke, K., Stevens, S., Pfleiderer, B., Gerlach, A.L. (2010). Interoceptive sensitivity in anxiety and anxiety disorders: an overview and integration of neurobiological findings. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30, 1–11.

- Etkin, A., Wager, T.D. (2007). Functional neuroimaging of anxiety: a meta-analysis of emotional processing in PTSD, social anxiety disorder, and specific phobia. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164, 1476.
- Friedman, D.P., Murray, E.A., O'Neill, J.B., Mishkin, M. (1986). Cortical connections of the somatosensory fields of the lateral sulcus of macaques: evidence for a corticolimbic pathway for touch. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 252, 323–47.
- Gündel, H., Valet, M., Sorg, C., et al. (2008). Altered cerebral response to noxious heat stimulation in patients with somatoform pain disorder. *Pain*, 137, 413–21.
- Giese-Davis, J., Tamagawa, R., Yutsis, M., et al. (2014). Which symptoms matter? Selfreport and observer discrepancies in repressors and high-anxious women with metastatic breast cancer. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 37, 22–36.
- Goldin, P.R., Manber, T., Hakimi, S., Canli, T., Gross, J.J. (2009). Neural bases of social anxiety disorder: emotional reactivity and cognitive regulation during social and physical threat. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *66*, 170.
- Gondo, M., Moriguchi, Y., Kodama, N., et al. (2012). Daily physical complaints and hippocampal function: an fMRI study of pain modulation by anxiety. *Neuroimage*, 63, 1011–9.
- Gray, J.A., McNaughton, N. (1982). The neuropsychology of anxiety: an enquiry into the functions of the septo-hippocampal system. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Hayasaka, S., Phan, K.L., Liberzon, I., Worsley, K.J., Nichols, T.E. (2004). Nonstationary cluster-size inference with random field and permutation methods. *Neuroimage*, 22, 676–87.
- Hayes, A.F., Matthes, J. (2009). Computational procedures for probing interactions in OLS and logistic regression: SPSS and SAS implementations. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41, 924–36.
- Hoehn-Saric, R., McLeod, D.R., Funderburk, F., Kowalski, P. (2004). Somatic symptoms and physiologic responses in generalized anxiety disorder and panic disorder: an ambulatory monitor study. Archives of General Psychiatry, 61, 913.
- Inui, K., Wang, X., Qiu, Y., et al. (2003). Pain processing within the primary somatosensory cortex in humans. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 18, 2859–66.
- Kanai, R., Rees, G. (2011). The structural basis of inter-individual differences in human behaviour and cognition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 12, 231–42.
- Kanda, M., Nagamine, T., Ikeda, A., et al. (2000). Primary somatosensory cortex is actively involved in pain processing in human. *Brain Research*, 853, 282–9.
- Kangas, M., Montgomery, G.H. (2011). The role of cognitive, emotional and personality factors in the experience of fatigue in a university and community sample. *Psychology & Health*, *26*, 1–19.
- Karhu, J., Tesche, C. (1999). Simultaneous early processing of sensory input in human primary (SI) and secondary (SII) somatosensory cortices. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 81, 2017–25.
- Kjelstrup, K.G., Tuvnes, F.A., Steffenach, H.-A., Murison, R., Moser, E.I., Moser, M.-B. (2002). Reduced fear expression after lesions of the ventral hippocampus. *Proceedings of* the National Academy of Sciences USA, 99, 10825–30.
- LaBar, K.S., Cabeza, R. (2006). Cognitive neuroscience of emotional memory. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 7, 54–64.
- Liu, C., Ohara, S., Franaszczuk, P., Zagzoog, N., Gallagher, M., Lenz, F. (2010). Painful stimuli evoke potentials recorded from the medial temporal lobe in humans. *Neuroscience*, *165*, 1402–11.
- Liu, M.-G., Chen, J. (2009). Roles of the hippocampal formation in pain information processing. *Neuroscience Bulletin*, 25, 237–66.
- Mancini, F., Haggard, P., Iannetti, G.D., Longo, M.R., Sereno, M.I. (2012). Fine-grained nociceptive maps in primary somatosensory cortex. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 32, 17155–62.
- Mathews, A., Mackintosh, B. (1998). A cognitive model of selective processing in anxiety. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 22, 539–60.
- Mayou, R. (1991). Medically unexplained physical symptoms. *British Medical Journal, 303*, 534.
- McEwen, B.S., Stellar, E. (1993). Stress and the individual: mechanisms leading to disease. Archives of Internal Medicine, 153, 2093.
- McNaughton, N. (2003). The Neuropsychology of Anxiety: An Enquiry into the Function of the Septo-Hippocampal System. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Michelson, L., Mavissakalian, M. (1983). Temporal stability of self-report measures in agoraphobia research. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 21, 695-8.
- Nabi, H., Hall, M., Koskenvuo, M., Singh-Manoux, A., et al. (2010). Psychological and somatic symptoms of anxiety and risk of coronary heart disease: the health and social support prospective cohort study. *Biological Psychiatry*, 67, 378–85.
- Nikolova, Y.S., Bogdan, R., Brigidi, B.D., Hariri, A.R. (2012). Ventral striatum reactivity to reward and recent life stress interact to predict positive affect. *Biological Psychiatry*, *72*, 157–63.
- Oertel, B., Preibisch, C., Wallenhorst, T., et al. (2007). Differential opioid action on sensory and affective cerebral pain processing. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 83, 577–88.
- Olatunji, B.O., Deacon, B.J., Abramowitz, J.S., Tolin, D.F. (2006). Dimensionality of somatic complaints: factor structure and psychometric properties of the self-rating anxiety scale. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 20, 543–61.
- Pennebaker, J.W. (2000). Psychological factors influencing the reporting of physical symptoms. The Science of Self-Report: Implications for Research and Practice. Mahwah, NJ, US: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers, pp. 299–315.

rGMV and anxiety-related traits

- Phan, K.L., Fitzgerald, D.A., Nathan, P.J., Tancer, M.E. (2006). Association between amygdala hyperactivity to harsh faces and severity of social anxiety in generalized social phobia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 59, 424–9.
- Phelps, E.A. (2006). Emotion and cognition: insights from studies of the human amygdala. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 57, 27–53.
- Ploghaus, A., Narain, C., Beckmann, C.F., et al. (2001). Exacerbation of pain by anxiety is associated with activity in a hippocampal network. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 21, 9896–903.
- Preacher, K.J., Hayes, A.F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research Methods*, 40, 879–91.
- Rosmalen, J.G., Neeleman, J., Gans, R.O., de Jonge, P. (2007). The association between neuroticism and self-reported common somatic symptoms in a population cohort. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 62, 305–11.
- Roy, M., Piché, M., Chen, J.-I., Peretz, I., Rainville, P. (2009). Cerebral and spinal modulation of pain by emotions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 106, 20900–5.
- Rusch, B.D., Abercrombie, H.C., Oakes, T.R., Schaefer, S.M., Davidson, R.J. (2001). Hippocampal morphometry in depressed patients and control subjects: relations to anxiety symptoms. *Biological Psychiatry*, 50, 960–4.
- Sandi, C., Richter-Levin, G. (2009). From high anxiety trait to depression: a neurocognitive hypothesis. Trends in Neurosciences, 32, 312–20.
- Silver, M., Montana, G., Nichols, T.E. (2011). False positives in neuroimaging genetics using voxel-based morphometry data. *Neuroimage*, 54, 992–1000.
- Stefanacci, L., Suzuki, W.A., Amaral, D.G. (1996). Organization of connections between the amygdaloid complex and the perirhinal and parahippocampal cortices in macaque monkeys. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 375, 552–82.
- Takeuchi, H., Taki, Y., Sassa, Y., et al. (2013a). Brain structures associated with executive functions during everyday events in a non-clinical sample. *Brain Structure and Function*, 218, 1017–32.
- Takeuchi, H., Taki, Y., Thyreau, B., et al. (2013b). White matter structures associated with empathizing and systemizing in young adults. *NeuroImage*, 77, 222–36.

- Talati, A., Pantazatos, S.P., Schneier, F.R., Weissman, M.M., Hirsch, J. (2013). Gray matter abnormalities in social anxiety disorder: primary, replication, and specificity studies. *Biological Psychiatry*, 73, 75–84.
- Treede, R.-D., Apkarian, A.V., Bromm, B., Greenspan, J.D., Lenz, F.A. (2000). Cortical representation of pain: functional characterization of nociceptive areas near the lateral sulcus. *Pain*, 87, 113–9.
- Ulrich-Lai, Y.M., Herman, J.P. (2009). Neural regulation of endocrine and autonomic stress responses. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10, 397–409.
- Vachon-Presseau, E., Roy, M., Martel, M.-O., et al. (2013). The stress model of chronic pain: evidence from basal cortisol and hippocampal structure and function in humans. *Brain*, 136, 815–27.
- van den Berg, B., Grievink, L., Yzermans, J., Lebret, E. (2005). Medically unexplained physical symptoms in the aftermath of disasters. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 27, 92–106.
- van Hoesen, G.W., Hyman, B.T., Damasio, A.R. (2004). Entorhinal cortex pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Hippocampus*, 1, 1–8.
- Van Ommeren, M., Sharma, B., Sharma, G.K., Komproe, I., Cardeña, E., de Jong, J.T. (2002). The relationship between somatic and PTSD symptoms among Bhutanese refugee torture survivors: examination of comorbidity with anxiety and depression. *Journal* of *Traumatic Stress*, 15, 415–21.
- Van Strien, N., Cappaert, N., Witter, M. (2009). The anatomy of memory: an interactive overview of the parahippocampal–hippocampal network. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10, 272–82.
- Veltman, D.J., Tuinebreijer, W.E., Winkelman, D., et al. (2004). Neurophysiological correlates of habituation during exposure in spider phobia. *Psychiatry Research*, 132, 149.
- Wang, S.-H., Morris, R.G. (2010). Hippocampal-neocortical interactions in memory formation, consolidation, and reconsolidation. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 61, 49–79.
- Wei, G.-X., Xu, T., Fan, F.-M., et al. (2013). Can Taichi reshape the brain? A brain morphometry study. PLoS One, 8, e61038.
- Zung, W.W. (1971). A rating instrument for anxiety disorders. Psychosomatics: Journal of Consultation Liaison Psychiatry, 12, 371–9.